- 2 RESPONSE: rejection and acceptance (no one can ignore the grunts of a giant)
- 2.1 "Conspiracy!" IBM trying to control the market
- 2.1.1 choice of 286 over 386, protect/tie to mainframe market
- 2.1.2 choice of MCA, protect against clones/use of multiprocessing
- 2.1.3 counter-agruments: IBM's not that calculated
- 2.1.3.1 eg., 1st issue of OS/2 on 5.1/4" disks (PS/2 = 3.1/2")
- 2.1.3.2 availability of 386 chip from Intel, still locked up
- 2.2 Too little too late
- 2.2.1 programmers' ego, hate every OS except Unix
- 2.2.2 MSDOS and clones too well entrenched
- 2.2.3 multitasking alternatives w/o hard/software investment
- 2.2.3.1 Software Carousel
- 2.2.3.2 Desqview
- 2.2.3.3 Windows
- 2.2.3.4 Concurrent CP/M (DOS 5.0 ?)
- 2.3 Welcomed Change: New Sexy Architecture for Programmers to battle
- 2.3.1 attract them away from Mac
- 3 WHY SHOULD I CARE?
- 3.1 If you're an owner: will your PC/XT become a \$3,000 paperweight?
- 3.2 perspective owner: is that cheap clone really a steal? a labuying a Beta-VCR
- 4 HISTORY: When the Giant first came to stay in Silicon Valley
- 4.1 August '81, IBM entry into the Microcomputer market
- 4.1.1 *new hardware (8088 processor)
- 4.1.2 *new operating system (MSDOS)
- 4.2 RESULT: Majority of the market followed IBM's lead

- 4.2.1 (abandoned previous architecture [8080/Z80 chip] and operating system [CP/M],
- 4.2.1.1 eventual demise of CP/M ---> cult status)
- 5 1981 & 1988 ANNOUNCEMENTS: SIMILARITIES/DIFFERENCES
- 5.1 Similarities
- 5.1.1 I/O confusion:
- 5.1.1.1 then: CP/M: hundreds of terminals and disk formats
- 5.1.1.2 now: IBM: video "standards" (CGA->VGA) 5 1/4 to 3/12 disk formats
- 5.1.2 Strong push from Apple to Dominate the market (Dvorak)
- 5.1.3 IBM lessons learned from Apple (Fire)
- 5.1.3.1 then: open architecture & use of 3rd party hardware/software
- 5.1.3.2 now: Mac-like interface
- 5.1.4 One major business OS and several contenders
- 5.1.4.1 then: CP/M plus AppleDos, P-system, NorthDos, TRSDos, LDOS, F-DOS, OS-1 . . .
- 5.1.4.2 now: MSDOS plus Mac's Finder/Multifinder, CP/M-86, Concurrent CP/M, DOS 5.0
- 5.2 Differences
- 5.2.1 In '81 you couldn't migrate from CP/M to MSDOS

without replacing both hardware and software . . . in '88 MSDOS to OS/2 = no hardware change if you have an AT (or compatible) or adding a drop-in card/new motherboard if you don't (Thompson's "tinkertoy," keep the box, drives, peripherals, power supply, replace processor); don't need to replace MSDOS application program, can run MSDOS within OS/2 window. "CP/M was replaced, MSDOS is evolving", greg fisher.

5.2.2 In '81 the business market

was a wide open area, applications programs wide open (room for new spreadsheets, wordprocessors . . .), few micros used in businesses . . . now the standard is set (XT) and the application market is pretty much glutted (per Elco Computer salesman, Weston Song)

- 6 WHAT NOW COACH?
- 6.1 Don't panic (especially owners)!!
- 6.1.1 MSDOS still viable (as CP/M before it)
- 6.1.2 everything about MSDOS (hardware & software) is upgradable
- 6.1.3 if you can afford to, wait (the field is wide open)
- 6.2 Prospective Owner
- 6.2.1 TO CLONE OR NOT TO CLONE?
- 6.2.1.1 It's almost a political question (maybe you're thinking Apple now)
- 6.2.2 DO YOU REALLY NEED OS/2?

7 SIDEBAR: CP/M after MSDOS---Hackers build themselves an OS

HF 3549.2 Horwitz
H 626

Computer-05:

PA

Berbei

CP/m technique

CP/m technique Dehnyl H62 Hospine CP/m Jails